

have activity against a broader range of isolates and may be produced in relatively large quantities by recombinant technology, passive delivery of a cocktail of monoclonal antibodies is being investigated in *animal* models as a means of prophylaxis.⁹ The cost of this approach may, however, potentially prohibit its future use on a wide scale in humans.

Efforts at understanding why it is so difficult to configure immunogens from the HIV envelope that more effectively elicit neutralising antibody responses continue but, in addition, attention has turned to what is termed the cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) hypothesis.⁹ This proposes that vaccination of uninfected individuals will not prevent infection but will induce an anti-HIV CTL (CD8+) response. If subsequently infected with HIV these immunised persons would be better able to control viral replication and progress to AIDS much more slowly or perhaps not at all and potentially decrease viral transmission. The validity of this hypothesis is at present uncertain but it has been supported by the observation of low level, yet detectable, HIV-specific CD8+ T cell responses in certain cohorts of highly exposed but uninfected individuals.

Additionally, new vaccine strategies are becoming available which it is thought will probably be able to elicit HIV-specific CTL responses of sufficient magnitude to allow direct testing of the concept in humans. Numerous *animal* studies are underway to assess the safety and immunogenicity of a number of replication-defective recombinant viral vectors (modified vaccinia Ankara strain, vesicular stomatitis virus, Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus, adeno-associated virus, and adenovirus) and also bacterial, yeast, and plasmid DNA vectors, all of which are designed to elicit antiviral CD8+ T cell responses.⁹ However, initial analyses from a large study of an adenovirus-based vaccine designed to boost T cell responses (the STEP trial) provoked alarm since results suggested that it did not decrease susceptibility to HIV infection and might have increased it in some cases.¹¹

Recombinant plasmid DNA immunogens are also under investigation as potential AIDS vaccines because of their desirable safety profile and ability to express defined and discrete inserted HIV antigens. They are either used singly or as a priming immunogen in prime-boost regimens using different vaccine vectors for sequential immunisation. Initial results in preclinical *animal* studies were encouraging, but results have been disappointing in subsequent phase I human studies.⁹

Within the field of AIDS vaccine research, the decision to advance candidate vaccines from phase I or II to phase III efficacy studies is somewhat complex. At present there are no consistent criteria in place to provide guidance on such decisions and there is a need for a coordinated, objective, and rigorous process for prioritisation in order to facilitate vaccine development. To the same end, alternative designs for phase III studies are being considered, including the use of endpoints such as reduction of viral load or preservation of CD4+ T cell counts for assessing vaccine efficacy rather than prevention of infection as the single primary endpoint. These and other measures may facilitate licensure of vaccines which currently would not occur.

- Esparza J, Bhamarapravati N. Accelerating the development and future availability of HIV-1 vaccines: why, when, where, and how? *Lancet* 2000; **355**: 2061–6.
- Hanke T. Prospect of a prophylactic vaccine for HIV. *Br Med Bull* 2001; **58**: 205–18.
- Makgoba MW, et al. The search for an HIV vaccine. *BMJ* 2002; **324**: 211–13.
- Weidle PJ, et al. HIV/AIDS treatment and HIV vaccines for Africa. *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 2261–7.
- Tramont EC, Johnston MI. Progress in the development of an HIV vaccine. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs* 2003; **8**: 37–45.
- Steveva L, Strober W. Mucosal HIV vaccines: where are we now? *Curr HIV Res* 2004; **2**: 1–10.
- Kinloch-de Loes S. Role of therapeutic vaccines in the control of HIV-1. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004; **53**: 562–6.
- Giri M, et al. DNA vaccines against human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in the past decade. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004; **17**: 370–89.
- Garber DA, et al. Prospects for an AIDS vaccine: three big questions, no easy answers. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2004; **4**: 397–413.
- Duerr A, et al. HIV vaccines: new frontiers in vaccine development. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; **43**: 500–11.
- Sekaly R-P. The failed HIV Merck vaccine study: a step back or a launching point for future vaccine development? *J Exp Med* 2008; **205**: 7–12.

Anthrax Vaccines

Vacunas del carbunco.

ATC — J07AC01.

Pharmacopoeias. Many pharmacopoeias, including *Eur.* (see p.vii), have monographs.

Ph. Eur. 6.2 (Anthrax Vaccine for Human Use (Adsorbed, Prepared from Culture Filtrates)); Vaccinum Anthracis Adsorbatum ab Colato Culturarum ad Usum Humanum. A preparation of *Bacillus anthracis* antigens precipitated by aluminium potassium sulphate. The antigens are prepared from a sterile culture filtrate produced by a non-encapsulated strain, either avirulent or attenuated, of *B. anthracis*. The main virulence components of *B. anthracis* are the polyglutamic acid capsule and 2 binary anthrax toxins, namely lethal toxin and oedema toxin, formed from the respective combination of protective antigen with either lethal factor or oedema factor. In addition, the vaccine is likely to contain many other *B. anthracis* antigens, including membrane proteins, secreted proteins, cytoplasmic proteins, peptidoglycans, nucleic acids, and carbohydrates. It should be stored at 2° to 8°, not be allowed to freeze, and be protected from light.

Adverse Effects and Precautions

As for vaccines in general, p.2201.

Interactions

As for vaccines in general, p.2202.

Uses and Administration

An anthrax vaccine that is an alum precipitate of the antigen found in the sterile filtrate of suitable cultures of the Sterne strain of *Bacillus anthracis* is available in the UK for human use. It is used for active immunisation against anthrax (p.163) and is recommended for persons working with potentially infected animals or animal products. It is given in 4 doses, each of 0.5 mL by intramuscular injection. The first 3 doses are separated by intervals of 3 weeks and the fourth dose follows after an interval of 6 months. In the USA, where an anthrax vaccine is also available, 6 doses, each of 0.5 mL, are given subcutaneously, the first 3 at intervals of 2 weeks and the last 3 at intervals of 6 months. Reinforcing doses of 0.5 mL are required each year.

References

- CDC. Use of anthrax vaccine in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 2000; **49** (RR-15): 1–20. Also available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr4915.pdf> (accessed 24/05/06).
- CDC. Use of anthrax vaccine in response to terrorism: supplemental recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. *MMWR* 2002; **51**: 1024–6.
- Health Protection Agency. Guidelines for action in the event of a deliberate release: anthrax (April 2007). Available at: http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAwebFile/HPAweb_C/1194947401128 (accessed 15/07/08).
- Grabenstein JD. Countering anthrax: vaccines and immunoglobulins. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **46**: 129–36.

Preparations

Ph. Eur.: Anthrax Vaccine for Human Use (Adsorbed, Prepared from Culture Filtrates);

USP 31: Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed.

Proprietary Preparations (details are given in Part 3)

USA: Biothrac.

Anti-D Immunoglobulins

Immunoglobulinas anti-D.

ATC — J06BB01.

Pharmacopoeias. Many pharmacopoeias, including *Eur.* (see p.vii) and *US*, have monographs.

Ph. Eur. 6.2 (Human Anti-D Immunoglobulin; Immunoglobulin Humanum Anti-D; Anti-D (Rh₀) Immunoglobulin BP 2008). A liquid or freeze-dried preparation containing immunoglobulins, mainly immunoglobulin G (IgG). It is intended for intramuscular administration. It is obtained from plasma from D-negative donors who have been immunised against the D-antigen. It contains specific antibodies against the erythrocyte D-antigen and may also contain small quantities of other blood group antibodies, such as anti-C, anti-E, anti-A, and anti-B. Normal immunoglobulin may be added. The liquid and freeze-dried preparations should be stored, protected from light, in a colourless, glass container. The freeze-dried preparation should be stored in an airtight container.

Ph. Eur. 6.2 (Human Anti-D Immunoglobulin for Intravenous Administration; Immunoglobulinum Humanum Anti-D ad Usum Intravenosum; Anti-D Immunoglobulin for Intravenous Use BP 2008). A liquid or freeze-dried preparation containing immunoglobulins, mainly immunoglobulin G (IgG). It is obtained from plasma from D-negative donors who have been immunised against the D-antigen. It contains specific antibodies against the erythrocyte D-antigen and may also contain small quantities of other blood group antibodies. Human normal immunoglobulin for intravenous administration may be added. Storage requirements are similar to those for Human Anti-D Immunoglobulin, except that the freeze-dried preparation is stored at a temperature not exceeding 25°.

USP 31 (Rh₀ (D) Immune Globulin). A sterile solution of globulins derived from human plasma containing antibody to the erythrocyte factor Rh₀ (D). It contains 10 to 18% of protein, of which not less than 90% is gamma globulin. It contains glycine as a stabilising agent, and a suitable preservative. It should be stored at 2° to 8°.

Adverse Effects and Precautions

As for immunoglobulins in general, p.2201.

In patients given anti-D immunoglobulin for idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) there have been rare reports of back pain, shaking chills, fever, and discoloured urine; such signs and symptoms may be associated with intravascular haemolysis. Serious and sometimes fatal complications of intravascular haemolysis including anaemia, acute renal insufficiency, or disseminated intravascular coagulation have been rarely reported. Most reported cases of haemolysis occurred within 4 hours of the dose.

For the treatment of ITP, anti-D immunoglobulin is contra-indicated in rhesus-negative or splenectomised patients. Patients with ITP who need a blood transfusion should be given rhesus-negative red blood cells so as not to exacerbate ongoing haemolysis. Those with

low initial haemoglobin concentrations (less than 10 g/dL) should be given a reduced dosage of the immunoglobulin to minimise the risk of severe anaemia.

When given for prophylaxis of rhesus sensitisation, anti-D immunoglobulin should not be used in rhesus-positive individuals.

Interactions

As for immunoglobulins in general, p.2201.

Uses and Administration

Anti-D immunoglobulin is used to prevent a rhesus-negative mother actively forming antibodies to fetal rhesus-positive red blood cells that may pass into the maternal circulation during childbirth, abortion, or certain other sensitising events. In subsequent rhesus-positive pregnancies these antibodies could produce haemolytic disease of the newborn (erythroblastosis foetalis). The injection of anti-D immunoglobulin is not effective once the mother has formed anti-D antibodies. Anti-D immunoglobulin is also used in the management of some blood disorders, primarily idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura.

Anti-D immunoglobulin products are available either for intramuscular use only or for intramuscular or intravenous use. Doses differ for these products and the manufacturer's recommendation should be followed for commercial products.

In the UK, recommendations produced by expert groups relate to the use of a non-proprietary product produced by the National Blood Transfusion Service. They recommend that **postnatal prophylaxis** with anti-D immunoglobulin should always be given to rhesus-negative mothers with no anti-D antibodies in their serum and who have just delivered rhesus-positive infants. It should be given as soon as possible after delivery but may give some protection even if treatment is delayed beyond 72 hours. A dose of 500 units (100 micrograms) by intramuscular injection will clear up to 4 mL of fetal red cells. An additional dose may be required depending on the amount of transplacental bleeding; for bleeds exceeding 4 mL an additional 125 units for each mL of red cells will be required.

For routine **antenatal prophylaxis**, two intramuscular doses of at least 500 units of anti-D immunoglobulin should be given at 28 and 34 weeks' gestation. Postnatal prophylaxis is still necessary.

There is also a risk of sensitisation during pregnancy from spontaneous, induced, or threatened abortion, amniocentesis, or external version. Any rhesus-negative woman at **risk of transplacental haemorrhage** during pregnancy and not known to be sensitised should be given an intramuscular dose of 250 units at up to 20 weeks' gestation and 500 units of anti-D immunoglobulin after 20 weeks' gestation.

Anti-D immunoglobulin is also given to rhesus-negative women of child-bearing potential after the inadvertent **transfusion of Rh-incompatible blood**, or after receiving blood components containing rhesus-positive red cells or organ donations from rhesus-positive donors. The dose is based on the amount of red blood cells transfused; an intramuscular dose up to 125 units/mL of transfused cells may be used.

In the USA, doses of anti-D immunoglobulin have traditionally been higher than in the UK; dosage recommendations are based on a standard dose that is capable of suppressing the immune response to 15 mL of incompatible red blood cells. One-sixth of this dose may be used up to 12 weeks of gestation for sensitising episodes.

For **idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura**, a usual initial dose of 250 units/kg (50 micrograms/kg) of a licensed anti-D immunoglobulin product is given by intravenous injection; it may be given in two divided doses on separate days if desired. Maintenance doses usually range between 125 to 300 units/kg (25 to 60 micrograms/kg) depending on the clinical response. A reduced initial dose of 125 to 200 units/kg (25 to 40 micrograms/kg) is recommended in patients with pre-existing anaemia (haemoglobin below 10 g/dL).

Haemolytic disease of the newborn. Rhesus (Rh) incompatibility, in particular Rh(D) incompatibility, is a major cause of